arXiv:1606.06089v1 [math.AP] 17 Jun 2016

Weighted Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type inequalities related to Grushin type operators

Manli Song, Wenjuan Li

School of Natural and Applied Sciences, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an, Shaanxi 710129, China

Abstract We consider the Grushin type operator on $\mathbb{R}^d_x \times \mathbb{R}^k_y$ with the form

$$G_\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \partial_{x_i}^2 + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} x_i^2 \right)^{2\mu} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \partial_{y_j}^2.$$

and derive weighted Hardy-Sobolev type inequalities and weighted Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type inequalities related to G_μ.

Keywords Grushin type operator, Weighted Hardy-Sobolev inequality, Weighted Caffarelli-Nirenberg type inequality.
MSC 26D10, 35H20

1 Introduction

Hardy-Sobolev inqualities and Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities on the Euclidean space play an important role in mathematics and applied fields. They are very useful tools to study various interesting problems in partial differential equations, such as eigenvalue problems, existence problems of equation with singular weights, regularity problems, etc.

The initial work of first order interpolation inequalities with weights (Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality) was given by Caffarelli et al [2]. The result is stated as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let p, q, r, α, β, γ, σ and a satisfy*

$$\begin{cases} p, q \geqslant 1, r > 0, 0 \leqslant a \leqslant 1 \\ \dfrac{1}{p} + \dfrac{\alpha}{n}, \dfrac{1}{q} + \dfrac{\beta}{n}, \dfrac{1}{r} + \dfrac{\gamma}{n} > 0, \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

Corresponding author: Wenjuan Li, E-mail: liwj@nwpu.edu.cn

where $\gamma = a\sigma + (1-a)\beta$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that the following inequality holds for all $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\left\| |x|^\gamma u \right\|_{L^r} \leqslant C \left\| |x|^\alpha |\nabla u| \right\|_{L^p}^a \left\| |x|^\beta u \right\|_{L^q}^{1-a} \tag{2}$$

if and only if the following relations hold:

$$\frac{1}{r} + \frac{\gamma}{n} = a\left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{n}\right) + (1-a)\left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{\beta}{n}\right) \tag{3}$$

(this is dimensional balance),

$$0 \leqslant \alpha - \sigma \quad \text{if} \quad a > 0$$
$$\alpha - \sigma \leqslant 1 \quad \text{if} \quad a > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{n} = \frac{1}{r} + \frac{\gamma}{n}.$$

Furthermore, on any compact set in parameter space in which (1), (3) *and* $0 \leqslant \alpha - \sigma \leqslant 1$ *hold, the constant C is bounded.*

When $a = 1$, we see that (2) are reduced to Hardy-Sobolev inequalities, i.e., Hardy-Sobolev inequalities are special cases of Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities. Later, Lin [13] generalized (2) to cases including derivatives of any order. Badiale and Tarantello [1] derived a class of more general Hardy-Sobolev inequalities with singular weights depending only on partial variables. Recently, Hardy-Sobolev type inequalities have been extended to noncommutative field vectors. In the Heisenberg group setting, we refer the readers to see [3][7][8][9][10], ect.

In this paper, we shall prove weighted Hardy-Sobolev type inequalities and weighted Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type inequalities related to the Grushin type operator

$$G_\mu = \Delta_x + |x|^{2\mu}\Delta_y,$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^k$. Let $Q = d + (1+\mu)k$ be the homogeneous dimension and

$$\rho = \rho(x,y) = \left(|x|^{2+2\mu} + (1+\mu)^2|y|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2+2\mu}}$$

be the distance function from the origin (x,y) on $\mathbb{R}_x^d \times \mathbb{R}_y^k$ to and $\nabla_\mu = (\nabla_x, |x|^\mu \nabla_y)$ be the gradient operator. We have $G_\mu = \nabla_\mu \cdot \nabla_\mu$.

For the Grushin type operator, D' Ambrosio [4] proved some Hardy type inequalities and gave sharp estimates in some cases. Here, we recall a result in [4]:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $p > 1$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha}{Q} > 0$. Then there exists a positive constant $C = \left(\frac{p}{Q-p+\alpha p}\right)^p$ such that for any $u \in D_\alpha^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^{d+k})$,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}} \rho^{\alpha p} \frac{|x|^{\mu p}}{\rho^{\mu p}} \frac{|u|^p}{\rho^p} dxdy \leqslant C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}} \rho^{\alpha p} |\nabla_\mu u|^p dxdy, \tag{4}$$

where $D_{\alpha}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^{d+k})$ *denotes the closure of* $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{d+k})$ *with respect to the norm*

$$\|u\|_{\alpha}^{1,p}=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}|\rho^{\alpha}\nabla_{\mu}u|^{p}dxdy\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Niu and Dou [15] established Hardy-Sobolev inequalities related to G_{μ}. Zhang et al [16] obtained a class of weighted Hardy-Sobolev inequalities and a class of weighted Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities in the special case $p=2$. The weighted Hardy-Sobolev inequalities are listed as follows:

Theorem 1.3. *(see [16]) If* $0\leqslant s\leqslant 2<Q$, $\alpha>\frac{2-Q}{2}$, *there exists a positive constant* $C=C(s,\alpha,\mu,Q)$ *such that for any* $u\in D_{\alpha}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^{d+k})$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}\frac{|x|^{\mu s}}{\rho^{\mu s}}\frac{|\rho^{\alpha}u|^{2_*(s,2,Q)}}{\rho^{s}}dxdy\leqslant C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}|\rho^{\alpha}\nabla_{\mu}u|^{2}dxdy\right)^{\frac{Q-s}{Q-2}},$$

where we put $p_*(s,p,Q)=\frac{p(Q-s)}{Q-p}$ *for any* $1<p<Q$.

The weighted Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities related to G_{μ} for the case $p=2$ are given:

Theorem 1.4. *(see [16]) Let* q, r, α, β, γ, σ *and* a *satisfy*

$$\begin{cases} q\geqslant 1, r>0, 0\leqslant a\leqslant 1\\ d+\mu(\alpha-\gamma)r>0, d+\mu(\alpha-\beta)q>0\\ \gamma r+Q>0, \beta q+Q>0, 2\alpha+Q>0, \end{cases}$$

where $\gamma=a\sigma+(1-a)\beta$. *Then there exists a positive constant* C *such that the following inequality holds for all* $u\in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{d+k})$

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}\left(\frac{|x|^{\mu}}{\rho^{\mu}}\right)^{(\alpha-\gamma)r}\rho^{\gamma r}|u|^{r}dxdy\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}\\ \leqslant& C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}\rho^{2\alpha}|\nabla_{\mu}u|^{2}dxdy\right)^{\frac{a}{2}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}\left(\frac{|x|^{\mu}}{\rho^{\mu}}\right)^{(\alpha-\beta)q}\rho^{\beta q}|u|^{q}dxdy\right)^{\frac{1-a}{q}}\end{aligned}$$

if and only if the following relations hold:

$$\frac{1}{r}+\frac{\gamma}{Q}=a(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\alpha-1}{Q})+(1-a)(\frac{1}{q}+\frac{\beta}{Q})$$

(this is dimensional balance),

$$\begin{aligned}&0\leqslant\alpha-\sigma\quad if\quad a>0\\ &\alpha-\sigma\leqslant 1\quad if\quad a>0\quad and\quad \frac{1}{2}+\frac{\alpha-1}{Q}=\frac{1}{r}+\frac{\gamma}{Q}.\end{aligned}$$

The paper is to establish the following weighted Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities related to G_μ for $1 < p < Q$:

Theorem 1.5. *Let p, q, r, α, β, γ, σ and a satisfy*

$$\begin{cases} 1 < p < Q, q \geqslant 1, r > 0, 0 \leqslant a \leqslant 1 \\ d + \mu(\alpha - \gamma)r > 0, d + \mu(\alpha - \beta)q > 0 \\ \alpha p + Q > 0, \beta q + Q > 0, \gamma r + Q > 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\gamma = a\sigma + (1 - a)\beta. \tag{5}$$

Then there exists a positive constant C such that the following inequality holds for all $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d+k})$

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}} \left(\frac{|x|^\mu}{\rho^\mu} \right)^{(\alpha-\gamma)r} \rho^{\gamma r} |u|^r dxdy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ \leqslant & C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}} \rho^{\alpha p} |\nabla_\mu u|^p dxdy \right)^{\frac{a}{p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}} \left(\frac{|x|^\mu}{\rho^\mu} \right)^{(\alpha-\beta)q} \rho^{\beta q} |u|^q dxdy \right)^{\frac{1-a}{q}} \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

if and only if the following relations hold:

$$\frac{1}{r} + \frac{\gamma}{Q} = a\left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{Q}\right) + (1 - a)\left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{\beta}{Q}\right) \tag{7}$$

(this is dimensional balance),

$$0 \leqslant \alpha - \sigma \quad \text{if} \quad a > 0 \tag{8}$$

$$\alpha - \sigma \leqslant 1 \quad \text{if} \quad a > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{Q} = \frac{1}{r} + \frac{\gamma}{Q}. \tag{9}$$

To prove Theorem 1.5, by employing the idea in [7][16], we first need to obtain a class of weighted Hardy-Sobolev type inequalities for $1 < p < Q$:

Theorem 1.6. *If $1 < p < Q$, $0 \leqslant s \leqslant p$, $\alpha > \frac{p-Q}{p}$, there exists a positive constant $C = C(s, p, \alpha, \mu, Q)$ such that for any $u \in D_\alpha^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^{d+k})$,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}} \frac{|x|^{\mu s}}{\rho^{\mu s}} \frac{|\rho^\alpha u|^{p_*(s,p,Q)}}{\rho^s} dxdy \leqslant C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}} |\rho^\alpha \nabla_\mu u|^p dxdy \right)^{\frac{Q-s}{Q-p}}. \tag{10}$$

Remark 1.1. *When $a = 1$, conditions of Theorem 1.5 imply*

$$0 \leqslant \alpha - \sigma = \alpha - \gamma \leqslant 1, \quad \frac{1}{r} + \frac{\sigma}{Q} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{Q},$$

and then $p \leqslant r \leqslant p^* = \frac{Qp}{Q-p}$. *Therefore, there exists* $t \in [0,1]$ *satisfying*

$$r = tp + (1-t)p^* = \frac{p(Q-tp)}{Q-p}, \quad \text{and} \quad (\alpha - \sigma)r = tp.$$

Replacing r *and* $\alpha - \sigma$ *into* (6), *it is easy to see that* (6) *is reduced to* (10), *which is exactly a weighted Hardy-Sobolev type inequality.*

Remark 1.2. *Since the methods based on radial symmetry in [2] are no longer suitable for* G_μ, *Zhang et al [16] adopted a different idea. They first proved weighted Hardy-Sobolev type inequalities related to* G_μ *for the case* $p = 2$ *and then derived the associated weighted Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities for the special case. Inspired by their work, we extended their results to all the cases* $1 < p < Q$. *However, it is still open for the cases* $p \geqslant Q$.

This paper is organized as follows. The next section introduces some definitions and basic facts related to G_μ. In Section 3, we establish a Sobolev-Stein embedding theorem and Hardy-Sobolev type inequalities related to G_μ. Furthermore, we prove Theorem 1.6. The final section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.5.

2 Preliminary

We shall introduce some notions and basic facts about the Grushin type operators. Let μ be a positive real number and $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^d_x \times \mathbb{R}^k_y = \mathbb{R}^{d+k}$ with $d, k \geqslant 1$. We denote by $|x|$ (resp. $|y|$) the Euclidean norm in $\mathbb{R}^d$ (resp. $\mathbb{R}^k$), i.e., $|x|^2 = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{d} x_i^2$ (resp. $|y|^2 = \sum\limits_{j=1}^{k} y_j^2$). The symbol ∇_x (resp. ∇_y) and Δ_x (resp. Δ_y) stand respectively for the usual gradient operator and the Laplace operator on $\mathbb{R}^d$ (resp. $\mathbb{R}^k$).

The Grushin type vector fields are defined by

$$X_i = \partial_{x_i},\ Y_j = |x|^\mu \partial_{y_j},\ i = 1, 2, \cdots, d,\ j = 1, 2, \cdots, k,$$

and the corresponding gradient operator and divengent operator are denoted respectively by

$$\nabla_\mu = (X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_d, Y_1, Y_2, \cdots, Y_k) = (\nabla_x, |x|^\mu \nabla_y),$$
$$\mathrm{div}_\mu(u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_{d+k}) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} X_i u_i + \sum_{j=1}^{k} Y_j u_{j+d}.$$

Denote the Grushin type operator by

$$G_\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{d} X_i^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{k} Y_j^2 = \Delta_x + |x|^{2\mu} \Delta_y = \nabla_\mu \cdot \nabla_\mu.$$

A family of the dilations $\{\delta_\lambda : \lambda > 0\}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{d+k}$ is defined by

$$\delta_\lambda(x, y) = (\lambda x, \lambda^{1+\mu} y),\ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+k},$$

and $Q = d + (1 + \mu)k$ is the corresponding homogeneous dimension. It is easy to see that the vector fields X_i and Y_j are homogeneous of degree one with respect to the dilation, i.e., $X_i(\delta_\lambda) = \lambda\delta_\lambda(X_i)$, $Y_j(\delta_\lambda) = \lambda\delta_\lambda(Y_j)$, and hence $\nabla_\mu(\delta_\lambda) = \lambda\delta_\lambda(\nabla_\mu)$ and $G_\mu(\delta_\lambda) = \lambda^2\delta_\lambda(G_\mu)$.

The distance function from the origin to (x, y) on $\mathbb{R}^{d+k}$ is defined by

$$\rho = \rho(x, y) = \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} x_i^2 \right)^{1+\mu} + (1+\mu)^2 \sum_{j=1}^{k} y_j^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2+2\mu}} = \left(|x|^{2+2\mu} + (1+\mu)^2 |y|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2+2\mu}}.$$

It is not difficult to check that ρ is homogeneous of degree one with respect to δ_λ and

$$|\nabla_\mu \rho| = \frac{|x|^\mu}{\rho^\mu},\ G_\mu \rho = (Q-1)\frac{|x|^{2\mu}}{\rho^{2\mu+1}}. \tag{11}$$

Furthermore, $\Gamma = C_\mu \rho^{2-Q}$ is the fundamental solution at the origin of G_μ (see [5]).

Denote the open ball of radius R centered at the origin by

$$B_R = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+k} : \rho(x, y) < R\}.$$

Recalling the explicit polar transform defined by D'Ambrosio [3], one has

$$dxdy = \rho^{Q-1} d\rho d\sigma,$$

where $d\sigma = \left(\frac{1}{1+\mu}\right)^k |\sin\theta|^{\frac{d}{2}-1} |\cos\theta|^{k-1} d\theta d\omega_d d\omega_k$, ω_d and ω_k denote respectively the usual surface measures on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $\mathbb{R}^k$. In addition, the criteria for the integrability of $|x|^p \rho^q$ was given as follows:

(1) if $p + d > 0$ and $p + q + Q > 0$, then $\int_{B_2} |x|^p \rho^q dxdy < +\infty$;

(2) if $p + d > 0$ and $p + q + Q < 0$, then $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k} \setminus B_1} |x|^p \rho^q dxdy < +\infty$.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.6

Firstly, we need to prove the Sobolev-Stein embedding result related to Grushin type operators.

Theorem 3.1. *If $1 < p < Q$, there exists a positive constant $C = C(p, \mu, Q)$ such that for any $u \in D_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^{d+k})$,*

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}} |u|^{p^*} dxdy \right)^{\frac{1}{p^*}} \leqslant C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}} |\nabla_\mu u|^p dxdy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Next, we shall prove the associated Hardy-Sobolev type inequalities.

Theorem 3.2. *If $1 < p < Q$, $0 \leqslant s \leqslant p$, there exists a positive constant $C = C(s, p, \mu, Q)$ such that for any $u \in D_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^{d+k})$,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}} \frac{|x|^{\mu s}}{\rho^{\mu s}} \frac{|u|^{p_*(s,p,Q)}}{\rho^s} dxdy \leqslant C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}} |\nabla_\mu u|^p dxdy \right)^{\frac{Q-s}{Q-p}}.$$

In order to prove Theorem 3.1, we consider the fractional integral operator

$$I_\nu f(x,y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}} \rho\big((x,y) - (x',y')\big)^{\nu-Q} f(x',y')dx'dy', \quad 0 < \nu < Q.$$

The Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev theorem for I_ν holds (see [6]):

Theorem 3.3. *Let $0 < \nu < Q$ and $1 \leqslant p < \frac{Q}{\nu}$. Then*

(1) if $1 < p < Q$, then the condition $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{\nu}{Q}$ is necessary and sufficient for the boundedness of I_ν from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^{d+k})$ to $L^q(\mathbb{R}^{d+k})$;

(2) if $p = 1$, then the condition $1 - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{\nu}{Q}$ is necessary and sufficient for the boundedness of I_ν from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^{d+k})$ to $L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{d+k})$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. For any $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d+k})$, using the integral representation formula for the fundamental solution of G_μ, we have

$$u(x,y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}} \Gamma\big((x,y) - (x',y')\big) G_\mu u(x',y')dx'dy'. \tag{12}$$

Noting $G_\mu = \nabla_\mu \cdot \nabla_\mu$ and $\nabla_\mu^* = -\nabla_\mu$ and integrating by parts at the right side of (12), it follows

$$u(x,y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}} (\nabla_\mu \Gamma)\big((x,y) - (x',y')\big) \nabla_\mu u(x',y')dx'dy'.$$

Since

$$|\nabla_\mu \Gamma| = C_\mu |\nabla_\mu \left(\rho^{2-Q}\right)| = C_\mu (Q-2)\rho^{1-Q} |\nabla_\mu \rho| \leqslant C_\mu (Q-2)\rho^{1-Q},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x,y)| &\leqslant C_\mu (Q-2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}} \rho\big((x,y) - (x',y')\big)^{1-Q} \left|\nabla_\mu u(x',y')\right| dx'dy' \\ &= C_\mu (Q-2) I_1(|\nabla_\mu u|). \end{aligned}$$

Now, applying Theorem 3.3, it yields

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}} |u|^q dxdy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} &\leqslant C_\mu (Q-2) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}} \left(I_1(|\nabla_\mu u|)\right)^q dxdy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leqslant C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}} |\nabla_\mu u|^p dxdy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}=\frac{1}{Q}\quad\left(\Leftrightarrow q=\frac{Qp}{Q-p}=p^*\right),$$

and C is a suitable positive constant depending only on p,μ and Q. This ends the proof.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Recall $p_*(s,p,Q)=\frac{p(Q-s)}{Q-p}$, where $1<p<Q$ and $0\leqslant s\leqslant p$. If $s=0$, then $p_*(p,p,Q)=p$ and Theorem 3.2 is reduced to Theorem 3.1. If $s=p$, then $p_*(0,p,Q)=p^*$ and Theorem 3.2 is reduced to Theorem 1.2 in the case $\alpha=0$. Theorefore, it suffices to deal with the case $0<s<p$.

Denoting $p_*(s,p,Q)=\left(1-\frac{s}{p}\right)p^*+s$, by Hölder inquality, (4) in the case $\alpha=0$ and Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}\frac{|x|^{\mu s}}{\rho^{\mu s}}\frac{|u|^{p_*(s,p,Q)}}{\rho^s}dxdy\\
&\leqslant\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}\frac{|x|^{\mu p}}{\rho^{\mu p}}\frac{|u|^p}{\rho^p}dxdy\right)^{\frac{s}{p}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}|u|^{p^*}dxdy\right)^{1-\frac{s}{p}}\\
&\leqslant\left(\left(\frac{p}{Q-p}\right)^p\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}|\nabla_\mu u|^p dxdy\right)^{\frac{s}{p}}\left(C(p,\mu,Q)^{p^*}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}|\nabla_\mu u|^p dxdy\right)^{\frac{p^*}{p}}\right)^{1-\frac{s}{p}}\\
&=C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}|\nabla_\mu u|^p dxdy\right)^{\frac{Q-s}{Q-p}},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$C=\left(\frac{p}{Q-p}\right)^s C(p,\mu,Q)^{\left(1-\frac{s}{p}\right)p^*}.$$

The proof is completed.

To prove Theorem 1.6, we shall introduce two results.

Lemma 3.1. *(see [11]) Let $p\geqslant 1$. For all $\xi_1,\xi_2\in\mathbb{R}^n$, the following inequalities hold:*

(1) if $p\leqslant 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\xi_1+\xi_2|^p-|\xi_1|^p-p|\xi_1|^{p-2}\langle\xi_1,\xi_2\rangle\leqslant C(p)|\xi_2|^p,\\
&|\xi_2|^p-|\xi_1|^p-p|\xi_1|^{p-2}\langle\xi_1,\xi_2-\xi_1\rangle\geqslant C(p)\frac{|\xi_2-\xi_1|^p}{(|\xi_1|+|\xi_2|)^{2-p}};
\end{aligned}$$

(2) if $p>2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\xi_1+\xi_2|^p-|\xi_1|^p-p|\xi_1|^{p-2}\langle\xi_1,\xi_2\rangle\leqslant\frac{p(p-1)}{2}(|\xi_1|+|\xi_2|)^{p-2}|\xi_2|^2,\\
&|\xi_2|^p-|\xi_1|^p-p|\xi_1|^{p-2}\langle\xi_1,\xi_2-\xi_1\rangle\geqslant C(p)|\xi_2-\xi_1|^p,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ represents the common inner product on the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Lemma 3.2. *(see [12]) For any $\xi,\eta\in\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\lambda>0$,*

$$|\xi|^{\lambda+1}+\lambda|\eta|^{\lambda+1}-(\lambda+1)|\eta|^{\lambda-1}\langle\xi,\eta\rangle\geqslant 0,$$

and the equality holds if and only if $\xi=\eta$.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. The condition $\alpha>\frac{p-Q}{p}$ implies

$$\alpha p_*(s,p,Q)-s+Q>0,\quad p\alpha+Q>0,$$

which ensures that the left and right integral of (10) are well defined on $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d+k})$. For any $u\in D_\alpha^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^{d+k})$, taking $w=\rho^\alpha u$, by the property of convex fuctions and (11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\nabla_\mu w|^p&=\left|\rho^\alpha\nabla_\mu u+\alpha\rho^{\alpha-1}u\nabla_\mu\rho\right|^p\\
&\leqslant\left(\rho^\alpha|\nabla_\mu u|+|\alpha||\rho^{\alpha-1}u||\nabla_\mu\rho|\right)^p\\
&=2^p\left(\frac{\rho^\alpha|\nabla_\mu u|+|\alpha||\rho^{\alpha-1}u||\nabla_\mu\rho|}{2}\right)^p\\
&\leqslant 2^p\left(\frac{\rho^{\alpha p}|\nabla_\mu u|^p}{2}+\frac{|\alpha|^p|\rho^{\alpha-1}u|^p|\nabla_\mu\rho|^p}{2}\right)\\
&\leqslant 2^{p-1}\left(\rho^{\alpha p}|\nabla_\mu u|^p+|\alpha|^p\rho^{\alpha p}\frac{|x|^{\mu p}}{\rho^{\mu p}}\frac{|u|^p}{\rho^p}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (4) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}|\nabla_\mu w|^p\,dxdy&\leqslant 2^{p-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}\left(\rho^{\alpha p}|\nabla_\mu u|^p+|\alpha|^p\rho^{\alpha p}\frac{|x|^{\mu p}}{\rho^{\mu p}}\frac{|u|^p}{\rho^p}\right)dxdy\\
&\leqslant 2^{p-1}\left(|\alpha|^p\left(\frac{p}{Q-p+\alpha p}\right)^p+1\right)\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}\rho^{\alpha p}|\nabla_\mu u|^p dxdy,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies $w\in D_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^{d+k})$. In addition, a straightforward computation deduces

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}\frac{|x|^{\mu s}}{\rho^{\mu s}}\frac{|\rho^\alpha u|^{p_*(s,p,Q)}}{\rho^s}dxdy&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}\frac{|x|^{\mu s}}{\rho^{\mu s}}\frac{|w|^{p_*(s,p,Q)}}{\rho^s}dxdy,\\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}|\rho^\alpha\nabla_\mu u|^p dxdy&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}|\nabla_\mu w-\alpha\rho^{-1}w\nabla_\mu\rho|^p dxdy.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (10) is equivalent to the following inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}\frac{|x|^{\mu s}}{\rho^{\mu s}}\frac{|w|^{p_*(s,p,Q)}}{\rho^s}dxdy\leqslant C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}|\nabla_\mu w-\alpha\rho^{-1}w\nabla_\mu\rho|^p dxdy\right)^{\frac{Q-s}{Q-p}}.$$

Noting Theorem 3.2, it suffices to prove

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}} |\nabla_\mu w - \alpha\rho^{-1}w\nabla_\mu\rho|^p dxdy \geqslant C' \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}} |\nabla_\mu w|^p dxdy \tag{13}$$

for some suitable constant $C' > 0$.

According to Lemma 3.1, we will investigate (13) under the case $1 < p \leqslant 2$ and $p > 2$ respectively.

Case 1: $1 < p \leqslant 2$. Taking $\xi_1 = \alpha\rho^{-1}w\nabla_\mu\rho$ and $\xi_2 = \nabla_\mu w - \alpha\rho^{-1}w\nabla_\mu\rho$ in the first case of Lemma 3.1, it indicates

$$\begin{aligned}
&C(p)\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}} |\nabla_\mu w - \alpha\rho^{-1}w\nabla_\mu\rho|^p dxdy \\
&\leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}} \Big\{ |\nabla_\mu w|^p - |\alpha|^p \frac{|w|^p}{\rho^p}|\nabla_\mu\rho|^p \\
&\qquad -p\alpha|\alpha|^{p-2}\frac{w|w|^{p-2}}{\rho^{p-1}}|\nabla_\mu\rho|^{p-2}\langle\nabla_\mu\rho, \nabla_\mu w - \alpha\rho^{-1}w\nabla_\mu\rho\rangle \Big\} dxdy \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}} \Big\{ |\nabla_\mu w|^p + (p-1)|\alpha|^p \frac{|w|^p}{\rho^p}|\nabla_\mu\rho|^p \\
&\qquad -p\alpha|\alpha|^{p-2}\frac{w|w|^{p-2}}{\rho^{p-1}}|\nabla_\mu\rho|^{p-2}\langle\nabla_\mu\rho, \nabla_\mu w\rangle \Big\} dxdy \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}} \Big\{ |\nabla_\mu w|^p + (p-1)|\alpha|^p \frac{|x|^{\mu p}}{\rho^{\mu p}}\frac{|w|^p}{\rho^p} \\
&\qquad - \alpha|\alpha|^{p-2}\rho^{1-p}|\nabla_\mu\rho|^{p-2}\langle\nabla_\mu\rho, \nabla_\mu|w|^p\rangle \Big\} dxdy.
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Integrating by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}} \rho^{1-p}|\nabla_\mu\rho|^{p-2}\langle\nabla_\mu\rho, \nabla_\mu|w|^p\rangle dxdy \\
&= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}} |w|^p \mathrm{div}_\mu\left(\rho^{1-p}|\nabla_\mu\rho|^{p-2}\nabla_\mu\rho\right) dxdy.
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Since

$$\nabla_\mu(|x|^{(p-2)\mu})\cdot\nabla_\mu\rho = (p-2)\mu\frac{|x|^{p\mu}}{\rho^{2\mu+1}},$$

by (11), a straightforward computation implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{div}_\mu\left(\rho^{1-p}|\nabla_\mu\rho|^{p-2}\nabla_\mu\rho\right) \\
&= \mathrm{div}_\mu\left(|x|^{(p-2)\mu}\rho^{1-p+(2-p)\mu}\nabla_\mu\rho\right) \\
&= \rho^{1-p+(2-p)\mu}\nabla_\mu(|x|^{(p-2)\mu})\cdot\nabla_\mu\rho \\
&+ \big(1-p+(2-p)\mu\big)|x|^{(p-2)\mu}\rho^{(2-p)\mu-p}|\nabla_\mu\rho|^2 + |x|^{(p-2)\mu}\rho^{1-p+(2-p)\mu}G_\mu\rho \\
&= (Q-p)\frac{|x|^{p\mu}}{\rho^{(p+1)\mu}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Putting (15) and (16) into (14), , we have

$$\begin{aligned} C(p)\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}|\nabla_\mu w-\alpha\rho^{-1}w\nabla_\mu\rho|^p dxdy &\geqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}|\nabla_\mu w|^p dxdy \\ &+\alpha|\alpha|^{p-2}\big(Q-p+(p-1)\alpha\big)\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}\frac{|x|^{\mu p}}{\rho^{\mu p}}\frac{|w|^p}{\rho^p}dxdy. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Note that the condition $\alpha>\frac{p-Q}{p}$ deduces that $Q-p+(p-1)\alpha>0$.

If $\alpha\leqslant 0$, it follows from (4) and (17) that

$$C(p)\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}|\nabla_\mu w-\alpha\rho^{-1}w\nabla_\mu\rho|^p dxdy\geqslant C_1\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}|\nabla_\mu w|^p dxdy, \tag{18}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= 1+\alpha|\alpha|^{p-2}\big(Q-p+(p-1)\alpha\big)\left(\frac{p}{Q-p}\right)^p \\ &= \left(\frac{p}{Q-p}\right)^p\left\{\left(\frac{Q-p}{p}\right)^p+(p-1)|\alpha|^p+(Q-p)\alpha|\alpha|^{p-2}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\xi=\frac{p-Q}{p}$, $\eta=\alpha$ and $\lambda=p-1>0$ in Lemma 3.2, it shows $C_1>0$.

If $\alpha>0$, then (18) holds naturally with $C_1=1$.

In conclusion, we prove (13) with $C'=C(p)^{-1}C_1>0$.

Case 2: $p>2$. A direct calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} &2^{p-2}\frac{p(p-1)}{2}\big(|\alpha\rho^{-1}w\nabla_\mu\rho|+|\nabla_\mu w|\big)^{p-2}|\nabla_\mu w-\alpha\rho^{-1}w\nabla_\mu\rho|^2 \\ &\geqslant \frac{p(p-1)}{2}\big(2|\alpha\rho^{-1}w\nabla_\mu\rho|+|\nabla_\mu w|\big)^{p-2}|\nabla_\mu w-\alpha\rho^{-1}w\nabla_\mu\rho|^2 \\ &\geqslant \frac{p(p-1)}{2}\big(|\alpha\rho^{-1}w\nabla_\mu\rho|+|\nabla_\mu w-\alpha\rho^{-1}w\nabla_\mu\rho|\big)^{p-2}|\nabla_\mu w-\alpha\rho^{-1}w\nabla_\mu\rho|^2. \end{aligned}$$

As Case 1, applying the estimate in the second case of Lemma 3.1 to the above inequality deduces

$$\begin{aligned} &2^{p-2}\frac{p(p-1)}{2}\big(|\alpha\rho^{-1}w\nabla_\mu\rho|+|\nabla_\mu w|\big)^{p-2}|\nabla_\mu w-\alpha\rho^{-1}w\nabla_\mu\rho|^2 \\ &\geqslant |\nabla_\mu w|^p-|\alpha\rho^{-1}w\nabla_\mu\rho|^p-p|\alpha\rho^{-1}w\nabla_\mu\rho|^{p-2}\langle\alpha\rho^{-1}w\nabla_\mu\rho,\nabla_\mu w-\alpha\rho^{-1}w\nabla_\mu\rho\rangle \\ &= |\nabla_\mu w|^p+(p-1)|\alpha|^p\frac{|x|^{\mu p}}{\rho^{\mu p}}\frac{|w|^p}{\rho^p}-\alpha|\alpha|^{p-2}\rho^{1-p}|\nabla_\mu\rho|^{p-2}\langle\nabla_\mu\rho,\nabla_\mu|w|^p\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, argued as Case 1,

$$\begin{aligned}
&2^{p-2}\frac{p(p-1)}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}\big(|\alpha\rho^{-1}w\nabla_\mu\rho|+|\nabla_\mu w|\big)^{p-2}|\nabla_\mu w-\alpha\rho^{-1}w\nabla_\mu\rho|^2dxdy\\
&\geqslant\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}\bigg\{|\nabla_\mu w|^p+(p-1)|\alpha|^p\frac{|x|^{\mu p}}{\rho^{\mu p}}\frac{|w|^p}{\rho^p}\\
&\qquad-\alpha|\alpha|^{p-2}\rho^{1-p}|\nabla_\mu\rho|^{p-2}\langle\nabla_\mu\rho,\nabla_\mu|w|^p\rangle\bigg\}dxdy\\
&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}|\nabla_\mu w|^pdxdy+\alpha|\alpha|^{p-2}\big(Q-p+(p-1)\alpha\big)\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}\frac{|x|^{\mu p}}{\rho^{\mu p}}\frac{|w|^p}{\rho^p}dxdy\\
&\geqslant C_1\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}|\nabla_\mu w|^pdxdy,
\end{aligned}\tag{19}$$

holds for a sutitable $C_1>0$.

In addition, exploiting Hölder inequality and Minkowski inequality, it deduces

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}\big(|\alpha\rho^{-1}w\nabla_\mu\rho|+|\nabla_\mu w|\big)^{p-2}|\nabla_\mu w-\alpha\rho^{-1}w\nabla_\mu\rho|^2dxdy\\
&\leqslant\bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}\big(|\alpha\rho^{-1}w\nabla_\mu\rho|+|\nabla_\mu w|\big)^pdxdy\bigg)^{\frac{p-2}{p}}\\
&\qquad\times\bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}|\nabla_\mu w-\alpha\rho^{-1}w\nabla_\mu\rho|^pdxdy\bigg)^{\frac{2}{p}}\\
&\leqslant\bigg\{\bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}\big|\alpha\rho^{-1}w\nabla_\mu\rho|^pdxdy\bigg)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}|\nabla_\mu w|^pdxdy\bigg)^{\frac{1}{p}}\bigg\}^{p-2}\\
&\qquad\times\bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}|\nabla_\mu w-\alpha\rho^{-1}w\nabla_\mu\rho|^pdxdy\bigg)^{\frac{2}{p}}.
\end{aligned}\tag{20}$$

We conclude from (4),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}\big|\alpha\rho^{-1}w\nabla_\mu\rho|^pdxdy\\
&=|\alpha|^p\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}\frac{|x|^{\mu p}}{\rho^{\mu p}}\frac{|w|^p}{\rho^p}dxdy\\
&\leqslant|\alpha|^p\bigg(\frac{p}{Q-p}\bigg)^p\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}|\nabla_\mu w|^pdxdy.
\end{aligned}\tag{21}$$

Hence, by (20) and (21),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}} \left(|\alpha\rho^{-1}w\nabla_\mu\rho| + |\nabla_\mu w|\right)^{p-2}|\nabla_\mu w - \alpha\rho^{-1}w\nabla_\mu\rho|^2 dxdy \\
\leqslant &\left(|\alpha|\frac{p}{Q-p}+1\right)^{p-2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}|\nabla_\mu w|^p dxdy\right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \\
&\times\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}|\nabla_\mu w - \alpha\rho^{-1}w\nabla_\mu\rho|^p dxdy\right)^{\frac{2}{p}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Combining (19) and (22), it follows

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}|\nabla_\mu w - \alpha\rho^{-1}w\nabla_\mu\rho|^p dxdy \geqslant C'\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}|\nabla_\mu w|^p dxdy,$$

where $C' = 2^{\frac{p(3-p)}{2}}\left[p(p-1)\right]^{-\frac{p}{2}}\left(|\alpha|\frac{p}{Q-p}+1\right)^{\frac{p(2-p)}{2}}C_1^{\frac{p}{2}} > 0$.

In conclusion, (13) is proved.

4 Proof of Theorem 1.5

4.1 Necessity

Necessity of (7)**:** Let $0 \not\equiv u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d+k})$ satisfy (6). Then $u_\lambda = u\circ\delta_\lambda(\lambda > 0)$ also satisfies (6). A direct computation shows

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}\left(\frac{|x|^\mu}{\rho^\mu}\right)^{(\alpha-\gamma)r}\rho^{\gamma r}|u_\lambda|^r dxdy &= \lambda^{-\gamma r-Q}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}\left(\frac{|x|^\mu}{\rho^\mu}\right)^{(\alpha-\gamma)r}\rho^{\gamma r}|u|^r dxdy, \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}\rho^{\alpha p}|\nabla_\mu u_\lambda|^p dxdy &= \lambda^{-(\alpha-1)p-Q}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}\rho^{\alpha p}|\nabla_\mu u|^p dxdy, \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}\left(\frac{|x|^\mu}{\rho^\mu}\right)^{(\alpha-\beta)q}\rho^{\beta q}|u_\lambda|^q dxdy &= \lambda^{-\beta q-Q}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}\left(\frac{|x|^\mu}{\rho^\mu}\right)^{(\alpha-\beta)q}\rho^{\beta q}|u|^q dxdy.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying u_λ to (6), it follows

$$\lambda^{-\gamma-\frac{Q}{r}} \leqslant \lambda^{a\left(-(\alpha-1)-\frac{Q}{p}\right)+(1-a)\left(-\beta-\frac{Q}{q}\right)},$$

which is true for any $\lambda > 0$, so the powers of λ on the two sides must be equal, i.e.

$$-\gamma-\frac{Q}{r} = a\left[-(\alpha-1)-\frac{Q}{p}\right]+(1-a)\left(-\beta-\frac{Q}{q}\right),$$

which is exactly (7).

Necessity of (8)**:** Let $0 \not\equiv u \in C_0^\infty(B_1)$ satisfy (6). Take $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+k}$, $x_0 \neq 0$ and for sufficiently large $\lambda > 0$, define

$$u_\lambda(x,y) = u\left((x,y) - \delta_\lambda(x_0,y_0)\right) = u(x - \lambda x_0, y - \lambda^{1+\mu} y_0).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}} \left(\frac{|x|^\mu}{\rho^\mu}\right)^{(\alpha-\gamma)r} \rho^{\gamma r} |u_\lambda|^r dxdy \\
&= \int_{B_1} \left(\frac{|x+\lambda x_0|}{\rho(x+\lambda x_0, y+\lambda^{1+\mu} y_0)}\right)^{\mu(\alpha-\gamma)r} \rho(x+\lambda x_0, y+\lambda^{1+\mu} y_0)^{\gamma r} |u|^r dxdy \\
&= \lambda^{\gamma r} \int_{B_1} \left(\frac{|\lambda^{-1}x + x_0|}{\rho(\lambda^{-1}x + x_0, \lambda^{-1} y + y_0)}\right)^{\mu(\alpha-\gamma)r} \rho(\lambda^{-1}x + x_0, \lambda^{-1} y + y_0)^{\gamma r} |u|^r dxdy \\
&\geqslant C_1 \lambda^{\gamma r},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}} \left(\frac{|x|^\mu}{\rho^\mu}\right)^{(\alpha-\beta)q} \rho^{\beta q} |u_\lambda|^q dxdy \leqslant C_3 \lambda^{\beta q},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}} \rho^{\alpha p} |\nabla_\mu u_\lambda|^p dxdy \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}} \rho(x+\lambda x_0, y+\lambda^{1+\mu} y_0)^{\alpha p} |\nabla_\mu u|^p dxdy \\
&= \lambda^{\alpha p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}} \rho(\lambda^{-1}x + x_0, \lambda^{-1} y + y_0)^{\alpha p} |\nabla_\mu u|^p dxdy. \\
&\leqslant C_2 \lambda^{\alpha p}
\end{aligned}$$

applying u_λ to (6), we have

$$C_1^{\frac{1}{r}} \lambda^\gamma \leqslant C_2^{\frac{a}{p}} C_3^{\frac{1-a}{q}} \lambda^{a\alpha + (1-a)\beta},$$

which yields $\gamma = a\sigma + (1-a)\beta \leqslant a\alpha + (1-a)\beta$, namely (8).

Necessity of (9)**:** We conclude from (7) that

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{Q} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{\beta}{Q} = \frac{1}{r} + \frac{\gamma}{Q}.$$

Choose the function

$$u_\varepsilon = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } \rho \geqslant 1 \\ \rho^{-\gamma - \frac{Q}{r}} \log \dfrac{1}{\rho} & \text{for } \varepsilon \leqslant \rho \leqslant 1 \\ \varepsilon^{-\gamma - \frac{Q}{r}} \log \dfrac{1}{\varepsilon} & \text{for } \rho \leqslant \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

By polar coordinate changes, it leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}} \left(\frac{|x|^\mu}{\rho^\mu}\right)^{(\alpha-\gamma)r} \rho^{\gamma r}|u_\varepsilon|^r dxdy \\
&= C'\left(\int_0^\varepsilon \rho^{\gamma r+Q-1}\left(\varepsilon^{-\gamma-\frac{Q}{r}}\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^r d\rho + \int_\varepsilon^1 \rho^{-1}\left(\log\frac{1}{\rho}\right)^r d\rho\right) \\
&= C'\left(\frac{\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^r}{\gamma r+Q} + \frac{\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{r+1}}{r+1}\right) \leqslant C_1\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{r+1},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}} \left(\frac{|x|^\mu}{\rho^\mu}\right)^{(\alpha-\beta)q} \rho^{\beta q}|u_\varepsilon|^q dxdy \leqslant C_2\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{q+1},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}} \rho^{\alpha p}|\nabla_\mu u_\varepsilon|^p dxdy \\
&\leqslant 2^{p-1}\int_{\varepsilon\leqslant\rho\leqslant 1} \rho^{-Q}\left(\left(\frac{Q-p}{p}\right)^p \log^p\frac{1}{\rho}+1\right)|\nabla_\mu\rho|^p dxdy \\
&\leqslant C_3\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{p+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying u_ε to (6), we have

$$C_1^{\frac{1}{r}}\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{r}} \leqslant C_2^{\frac{a}{p}} C_3^{\frac{1-a}{q}}\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{a\left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right)+(1-a)\left(1+\frac{1}{q}\right)},$$

which implies that

$$1+\frac{1}{r} \leqslant a\left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right)+(1-a)\left(1+\frac{1}{q}\right),$$

which immediately leads to

$$\frac{1}{r} \leqslant \frac{a}{p}+\frac{1-a}{q}. \tag{23}$$

Combining (5), (7) and (23) yields (9).

4.2 Sufficiency

If $a = 0$, (6) holds true obviously; If $a = 1$, the proof is complete in Remark 1.1. In the sequel, we deal only with the case $0 < a < 1$.

Case I**:** $0<a<1$**,** $0\leqslant\alpha-\sigma\leqslant 1$. In this case, $p\leqslant\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{\alpha-\sigma-1}{Q}\right)^{-1}\leqslant p^*$. Analogous to the argument in Remark 1.1, there exists $t\in[0,1]$ satisfying $\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{\alpha-\sigma-1}{Q}\right)^{-1}=\frac{p(Q-tp)}{Q-p}$ and $(\alpha-\sigma)\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{\alpha-\sigma-1}{Q}\right)^{-1}=tp$. Applying (10) with $0\leqslant s=tp\leqslant p$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}\left(\frac{|x|^\mu}{\rho^\mu}\right)^{(\alpha-\sigma)\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{\alpha-\sigma-1}{Q}\right)^{-1}}\frac{|\rho^\alpha u|^{\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{\alpha-\sigma-1}{Q}\right)^{-1}}}{\rho^{(\alpha-\sigma)\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{\alpha-\sigma-1}{Q}\right)^{-1}}}dxdy\\&\leqslant C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}|\rho^\alpha\nabla_\mu u|^p dxdy\right)^{\frac{Q-tp}{Q-p}}.\end{aligned}\tag{24}$$

From (5) and (7),

$$\frac{1}{r}=a\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{\alpha-\sigma-1}{Q}\right)+\frac{1-a}{q}<1,\quad(\Rightarrow r>1),$$

$$\left(\frac{|x|^\mu}{\rho^\mu}\right)^{\alpha-\gamma}\rho^\gamma|u|=\left\{\left(\frac{|x|^\mu}{\rho^\mu}\right)^{a(\alpha-\sigma)}\rho^{a\sigma}|u|^a\right\}\left\{\left(\frac{|x|^\mu}{\rho^\mu}\right)^{(1-a)(\alpha-\beta)}\rho^{(1-a)\beta}|u|^{1-a}\right\}.$$

By Hölder inequality and (24), it follows

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}\left(\frac{|x|^\mu}{\rho^\mu}\right)^{(\alpha-\gamma)r}\rho^{\gamma r}|u|^r dxdy\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}\\&\leqslant\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}\left(\frac{|x|^\mu}{\rho^\mu}\right)^{(\alpha-\sigma)\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{\alpha-\sigma-1}{Q}\right)^{-1}}\frac{|\rho^\alpha u|^{\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{\alpha-\sigma-1}{Q}\right)^{-1}}}{\rho^{(\alpha-\sigma)\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{\alpha-\sigma-1}{Q}\right)^{-1}}}dxdy\right)^{a\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{\alpha-\sigma-1}{Q}\right)}\\&\quad\times\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}\left(\frac{|x|^\mu}{\rho^\mu}\right)^{(\alpha-\beta)q}\rho^{\beta q}|u|^q dxdy\right)^{\frac{1-a}{q}}\\&\leqslant C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}\rho^{\alpha p}|\nabla_\mu u|^p dxdy\right)^{\frac{a}{p}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}\left(\frac{|x|^\mu}{\rho^\mu}\right)^{(\alpha-\beta)q}\rho^{\beta q}|u|^q dxdy\right)^{\frac{1-a}{q}}.\end{aligned}$$

Case II**:** $0<a<1$**,** $\alpha-\sigma>1$. Putting

$$A^p=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}|\rho^\alpha\nabla_L u|^p dxdy,\ B^q=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}\left(\frac{|x|^\mu}{\rho^\mu}\right)^{(\beta-\alpha)q}\rho^{\beta q}|u|^q dxdy,$$

we see that (6) can be written as

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}\left(\frac{|x|^\mu}{\rho^\mu}\right)^{(\alpha-\gamma)r}\rho^{\gamma r}|u|^r dxdy\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}\leqslant CA^aB^{1-a}.$$

Rescaling u such that $A^aB^{1-a}=1$, our aim becomes to prove

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}\left(\frac{|x|^\mu}{\rho^\mu}\right)^{(\alpha-\gamma)r}\rho^{\gamma r}|u|^r dxdy\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}\leqslant C. \tag{25}$$

Note in Case I, (6) has been proved for $\alpha-\sigma=0$ and $\alpha-\sigma=1$. Therefore,

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}\left(\frac{|x|^\mu}{\rho^\mu}\right)^{(\alpha-\delta)s}\rho^{\delta s}|u|^s dxdy\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}\leqslant C,$$
$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}\left(\frac{|x|^\mu}{\rho^\mu}\right)^{(\alpha-\epsilon)t}\rho^{\epsilon t}|u|^t dxdy\right)^{\frac{1}{t}}\leqslant C,$$

provided that δ, s, ϵ and t satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta = b\alpha+(1-b)\beta,\\
&\frac{1}{s}=\frac{b}{p}+\frac{1-b}{q}-\frac{b}{Q},\\
&\epsilon = c(\alpha-1)+(1-c)\beta,\\
&\frac{1}{t}=\frac{c}{p}+\frac{1-c}{q},
\end{aligned}$$

for some choices of b and c, $0\leqslant b,c\leqslant 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
&d+\mu(\alpha-\delta)s>0,\ \delta s+Q>0,\\
&d+\mu(\alpha-\epsilon)t>0,\ \epsilon t+Q>0.
\end{aligned}$$

One computes that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{t}+\frac{\epsilon}{Q}&=c\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{\alpha-1}{Q}\right)+(1-c)\left(\frac{1}{q}+\frac{\beta}{Q}\right),\\
\frac{1}{r}+\frac{\gamma}{Q}&=a\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{\alpha-1}{Q}\right)+(1-a)\left(\frac{1}{q}+\frac{\beta}{Q}\right),\\
\frac{1}{s}+\frac{\delta}{Q}&=b\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{\alpha-1}{Q}\right)+(1-b)\left(\frac{1}{q}+\frac{\beta}{Q}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $0<a<1$, $\alpha-\sigma>1$, it ensures $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{\alpha-1}{Q}\neq\frac{1}{r}+\frac{\gamma}{Q}$ from (9) and then $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{\alpha-1}{Q}\neq\frac{1}{q}+\frac{\beta}{Q}$.

1): $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{\alpha-1}{Q}<\frac{1}{q}+\frac{\beta}{Q}$. Taking $b<a<c$, we have

$$\frac{1}{t}+\frac{\epsilon}{Q}<\frac{1}{r}+\frac{\gamma}{Q}<\frac{1}{s}+\frac{\delta}{Q}. \tag{26}$$

A direct computation shows

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{s}&=(a-b)\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{Q}\right)+\frac{a(\alpha-\sigma)}{Q},\\ \frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{t}&=(a-c)\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)+\frac{a(\alpha-\sigma-1)}{Q},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\frac{1}{r}+\frac{\mu(\alpha-\gamma)}{d}\right)-\left(\frac{1}{t}+\frac{\mu(\alpha-\epsilon)}{d}\right)\\ &=(a-c)\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}+\frac{\mu}{d}(\beta+1-\alpha)\right)+a(\alpha-\sigma-1)\left(\frac{1}{Q}+\frac{\mu}{d}\right),\\ &\left(\frac{1}{r}+\frac{\mu(\alpha-\gamma)}{d}\right)-\left(\frac{1}{s}+\frac{\mu(\alpha-\sigma)}{d}\right)\\ &=(a-b)\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{Q}+\frac{\mu}{d}(\beta-\alpha)\right)+a(\alpha-\sigma)\left(\frac{1}{Q}+\frac{\mu}{d}\right).\end{aligned}$$

The condition $0<a<1$ and $\alpha-\sigma>1$ imply

$$\begin{aligned}0<&\frac{a(\alpha-\sigma-1)}{Q}<\frac{a(\alpha-\sigma)}{Q},\\ 0<&a(\alpha-\sigma-1)\left(\frac{1}{Q}+\frac{\mu}{d}\right)<a(\alpha-\sigma)\left(\frac{1}{Q}+\frac{\mu}{d}\right),\end{aligned}$$

and for sufficiently small $|b-a|$ and $|a-c|$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{r}>\frac{1}{s},\quad \frac{1}{r}+\frac{\mu(\alpha-\gamma)}{d}>\frac{1}{s}+\frac{\mu(\alpha-\sigma)}{d},\\ &\frac{1}{r}>\frac{1}{t},\quad \frac{1}{r}+\frac{\mu(\alpha-\gamma)}{d}>\frac{1}{t}+\frac{\mu(\alpha-\epsilon)}{d}.\end{aligned}\tag{27}$$

Combining (26) and (27), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&d+\frac{\mu(\epsilon-\gamma)tr}{t-r}>0,\quad \frac{(\gamma-\epsilon)tr}{t-r}+Q>0,\\ &d+\frac{\mu(\delta-\gamma)sr}{s-r}>0,\quad \frac{(\gamma-\delta)sr}{s-r}+Q<0.\end{aligned}\tag{28}$$

Choose a fixed $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d+k})$ function $\Phi(x,y)$ $(0\leqslant\Phi\leqslant 1)$ such that

$$\Phi(x,y)=\begin{cases}1, \text{ if } \rho(x,y)<1,\\ 0, \text{ if } \rho(x,y)>2.\end{cases}$$

We shall investigate the left side of (25) by spliting it to two parts. It obtains by Hölder inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}\left(\frac{|x|^\mu}{\rho^\mu}\right)^{(\alpha-\gamma)r}\rho^{\gamma r}\Phi|u|^r dxdy\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}\\
&\leqslant\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}\left(\frac{|x|^\mu}{\rho^\mu}\right)^{(\alpha-\epsilon)t}\rho^{\epsilon t}|u|^t dxdy\right)^{\frac{1}{t}}\\
&\quad\times\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}\left(\frac{|x|^\mu}{\rho^\mu}\right)^{\frac{(\epsilon-\gamma)rt}{t-r}}\rho^{\frac{(\gamma-\epsilon)rt}{t-r}}\Phi^{\frac{t}{t-r}}dxdy\right)^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{t}}\\
&\leqslant C\left(\int_{B_2}|x|^{\frac{\mu(\epsilon-\gamma)rt}{t-r}}\rho^{(\mu+1)(\gamma-\epsilon)\frac{rt}{t-r}}dxdy\right)^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{t}}
\end{aligned}\tag{29}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}\left(\frac{|x|^\mu}{\rho^\mu}\right)^{(\alpha-\gamma)r}\rho^{\gamma r}(1-\Phi)|u|^r dxdy\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}\\
&\leqslant\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}\left(\frac{|x|^\mu}{\rho^\mu}\right)^{(\alpha-\delta)s}\rho^{\delta s}|u|^s dxdy\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}\\
&\quad\times\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}}\left(\frac{|x|^\mu}{\rho^\mu}\right)^{\frac{(\delta-\gamma)rt}{t-r}}\rho^{\frac{(\gamma-\delta)st}{s-r}}(1-\Phi)^{\frac{s}{s-r}}dxdy\right)^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{s}}\\
&\leqslant C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+k}\setminus B_1}|x|^{\frac{\mu(\delta-\gamma)rs}{s-r}}\rho^{(\mu+1)(\gamma-\delta)\frac{rs}{s-r}}dxdy\right)^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{s}}.
\end{aligned}\tag{30}$$

Moreover, (28) ensures the integrals on the right side in (29) and (30) are bounded, which easily leads to (25).

2): $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{\alpha-1}{Q}>\frac{1}{q}+\frac{\beta}{Q}$. Take $c<a<b$ such that $|c-a|$ and $|a-b|$ are sufficiently small. Now (26)-(30) still hold true and then the desired result (25) is derived.

Acknowledgements The authors thank deeply Professor Pengcheng Niu for his suggestion and encouragement on the paper. They were supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11371036) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (Grant No. 3102015ZY068).

References

1. M. Badiale, G. Tarantello. A Sobolev inequality with applications to nonlinear elliptic equation arising in astrophysics. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 2002, 163: 259-293

2. L. Cafferelli, R. Kohn, G. Nirenberg. First order interpolation inequalities with weights. Compositio Math, 1984, 53: 259-275
3. L. D'Ambrosio. Some Hardy inequalities on the Heisenberg group. Manuscripta Math., 2001, 106: 519-536
4. L. D'Ambrosio. Hardy inequalities related to Grushin type operators. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 2004, 132(3): 725-734
5. L. D'Ambrosio, S. Lucente. Nonlinear Liouville theorems for Grushin and Tricomi Operators. J. Differential Equations, 2003, 193(2): 511-541
6. G.B. Folland, E.M. Stein. Hardy Spaces on Homogeneous Groups. Mathematical Notes, University Press, 1982, 28
7. Y. Han. Weighted Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type Inequality on the Heisenberg group. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 2015, 46(2): 147-161
8. Y. Han, P. Niu. Hardy-Sobolev type inequalities on the H-type group. Manuscripta Math., 2005, 118: 235-252
9. Y. Han, P. Niu, S. Zhang. On first order interpolation inequalities with weights on the Heisenberg group. Acta Mathematica Sinica(English Series), 2011, 27(12): 2493-2506
10. Y. Han, S. Zhang, J. Dou. On first order interpolation inequalities with weights on the H-type group. Bull. Braz. Math. Soc., 2011, 42(2): 185-202
11. Y. Jin, Y. Han. Improved Hardy inequality on the Heisenberg group. Acta Math. Sci. Ser. A Chin. Ed., 2011, 31(6): 1591-1600
12. T. Kusano, J. Jaroš, N. Yoshida. A Picone-type identity and Sturmian comparison and oscillation theorems for a class of half-linear partial differential equations of second order. Nonlinear Analysis, 2000, 40: 381-395
13. S. Lin. Interpolation inequalities with weights. Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 1986, 11(14): 1515-1538
14. H. Liu, M. Song. A restriction theorem for Grushin operators. Front. Math. China, 2016, 11(2), 365-375.
15. P. Niu, J. Dou. Hardy-Sobolev type inequalities for generalized Baouendi-Grushin operators. Miskolc Math. Notes, 2007, 8(1): 73-77.
16. S. Zhang, Y. Han, J. Dou. Weighted Hardy-Sobolev type inequality for generalized Baouendi-Grushin vector fields and its application. Adv. Math.(China), 2015, 44(3): 411-420
17. D.W. Robinson, A. Sikora. Grušin operators, Riesz transforms and nilpotent Lie groups. Math. Z., 2016, 282: 461-472